

## Europe at a Crossroads: Ukraine, NATO, and the Future of Security

On February 28th, a diplomatic fracas broke out at the White House during Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy's visit, leaving the world in shock. The meltdown between Zelenskyy, U.S. President Donald Trump, and Vice President J.D. Vance played out before the media, raising acute doubts about Ukraine's future as it continues to endure relentless Russian attacks for the fourth consecutive year.

Ukraine's defence remains fragile and heavily dependent on U.S. military aid. As anticipated, just days after the public confrontation, Trump suspended all U.S. arms assistance to Ukraine. Additionally, the CIA has halted critical battlefield intelligence-sharing with the Ukrainian military. Reports also suggest that the Trump administration is pressuring the British intelligence agency MI6 to follow suit. With mounting U.S. pressure, Zelenskyy is increasingly left with little choice but to negotiate peace on American terms—a move he has resisted from the start.

While Europe remains supportive of Ukraine, its ability to provide meaningful military aid is questionable. Without American weapons and intelligence, it remains uncertain how long Ukraine can

withstand Russian advances. Despite their vocal backing, major European powers such as the UK, France, Germany, Italy, and Poland lack the military capacity to make a decisive impact.

## A Larger Threat to European Security

Thus while the global attention remains fixed on the Ukraine-Russia conflict, a larger issue looms over European security. Despite their vocal support for Ukraine, European nations themselves lack the military capability to defend against a broader Russian expansionist threat. Zelenskyy has consistently tried to draw the Europeans into the conflict by claiming that after Ukraine, Russia will inevitably set its sights on the rest of Europe. This apprehension of a Russian invasion whether justified or not has managed to rattle European capitals since the conflict began three years ago.

Historically, the U.S. has been the backbone of NATO, ensuring Europe's defence against Russian aggression. However, Trump has made it clear that he intends to end what he calls Europe's "free ride," insisting that European nations take responsibility for their own security. With the exception of the UK, France, and Italy, few European countries possess a military infrastructure capable of resisting Russian aggression without U.S. support.

Since the end of the Cold War, Europe has benefited from a "peace dividend" in a unipolar world. Under NATO's security umbrella—largely funded by the U.S.—many European nations drastically reduced their defence budgets, leaving them ill-prepared for large-scale conflict. Now, as the U.S. pulls back, Europe is confronted with the harsh reality of financing and managing its own defence.

## **Europe's Military Preparedness: The Stark Reality**

Getting a taste of reality, European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen recently announced an \$800 billion military mobilization plan for the EU. However, this initiative comes from a group of nations that have traditionally spent less than 2% of their GDP on defence, relying on the U.S. for security. In 2023—the second year of the Ukraine-Russia war—EU countries collectively spent only \$327 billion on defence, amounting to just 1.8% of their total GDP. In contrast, the U.S. spent \$916 billion, representing 3.5% of its GDP.

Increasing defence spending is just one part of the challenge. Recruiting and training personnel for advanced military systems takes at least three to four years. Furthermore, Europe's diverse range of weapon systems must be interoperable to ensure an effective and unified defence strategy. Without system compatibility and coordination, Europe's response to a potential Russian threat would be fragmented and ineffective.

More critically, Europe must establish a unified military force. Without collective defence, smaller nations could be overwhelmed by sheer Russian numbers. Until now, NATO has provided this security framework, with the U.S. leading the charge. However, without American leadership, NATO's effectiveness is in question. Europe must either revitalize NATO under new leadership or develop an alternative security framework that not only protects member states but also provides strategic direction for the continent's future.

This requires a new breed of military leaders who see European defence as a single, integrated force—one that is greater than the sum of its national components. A strong, independent European military could become one of the world's leading defence forces, safeguarding European interests in an increasingly uncertain global landscape.

## The Political Will to Act

Achieving this transformation will demand immense political will from European leaders. Trump's stance has pushed Europe to a critical juncture. The question now is whether European policymakers can rise to the challenge and pull their nations back from the brink—or if they will continue to rely on a security framework that is rapidly eroding.